

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/534,443	03/24/2000	Jeffrey L. Johanning	ADV-B-291	3408
75	90 07/20/2006		EXAMINER	
DUANE MORRIS LLP 1667 K STREET NW SUITE 700			PATEL, ASHOK	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W	
Advisory Action	09/534,443	JOHANNING, JEFFI	REY L.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ashok Patel	2879		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire</li> </ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	is of the date of e appeal. Since	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause	
<ul> <li>(a)          \overline{\text{They raise new issues that would require further co}}     </li> <li>(b)          \text{They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below that they have the issue of new matter (see NOTE below they have the issue of new matter).</li> </ul>		TE below);		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	the issues for	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.		
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1				
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) rejection of claims 31-33, 38, 89 and 90.</li> </ul>				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 37,71 and 72 wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 31-36,38-40,71,72 and 89-91. Claim(s) objected to: 37.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>87 and 88</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a North d sufficient reasons why the affidate described the date of filing a North described the date of filing a North described described the date of filing a North described the date of filing and described the date of filing described the date of filing described described the date of filing described described the date of filing described desc	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ice because:	

Ashok Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2879

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: newly proposed claims 92 and 93 (which appears to be former dependent but objected to claims 34 and 71 respectively) do not include all limitations of intervening and base claims. Further since base claim 31 is in a jepson format, newly proposed independent claims 92 and 93 must follow jepson format, if applicant intends to present dependent but objected to claims 34 and 71 in their independent form.